

On the Quantum Inverse Problem for the Closed Toda Chain.

O. Babelon ¹

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies. ²

Abstract

We reconstruct the canonical operators p_i, q_i of the quantum closed Toda chain in terms of Sklyanin's separated variables.

LPTHE-P03-07

¹Member of CNRS.

²L.P.T.H.E. Universités Paris VI–Paris VII (UMR 7589), Boîte 126, Tour 16, 1^{er} étage, 4 place Jussieu, F-75252 PARIS CEDEX 05

1 Introduction.

The theory of classical integrable systems relies on two main ingredients. One is group theory which is used to manufacture Lax matrices as coadjoint orbits of loop groups, and the second one is complex analysis on the spectral curve, Γ , which is used to effectively solve the models.

In fact, once Γ is given to us, we only need $g = \text{genus}(\Gamma)$ points on it to reconstruct everything. The divisor $\mathcal{D}$ of these g points is called the dynamical divisor. Its role is fundamental. For instance, under an integrable flow, the curve Γ is fixed but the points of $\mathcal{D}$ move on it. The main theorem of integrable systems states that the image of $\mathcal{D}$ by the Abel map, which is a point of the Jacobian of Γ , moves linearly under such flows. Another very important property, which has emerged gradually, is that the coordinates of the points of $\mathcal{D}$ form a set separated variables in the sense of the Hamilton-Jacobi theory [13, 14].

In the quantum theory too, these separated variables known there as Sklyanin's variables, play an important role [1]. It was observed recently that the quantum commuting Hamiltonians had a simple and general expression in terms of Sklyanin variables [12]. Hence, it becomes natural to try to set up a quantization procedure of a classical integrable system by using these variables systematically.

In this note, as an example, we perform this quantization program in the case of the closed Toda chain. We will be able to reconstruct the original quantum Toda variables in terms of the Sklyanin variables, see eq.(23). So, even in this most studied system, the approach seems powerful enough to provide new results.

But before attacking the specific example of the Toda chain, it is worth recalling a few general facts about the classical theory of integrable systems.

Lax matrices built with the help of coadjoint orbits of loop groups lead to spectral curves of the very special form [5, 7, 14]

$$\Gamma : R(\lambda, \mu) \equiv R_0(\lambda, \mu) + \sum_j R_j(\lambda, \mu) H_j = 0 \quad (1)$$

where the H_j are the Poisson commuting Hamiltonians. The coefficients $R_j(\lambda, \mu)$ have a simple geometrical meaning. It turns out that varying the moduli H_i at λ constant one can show that [5]

$$\delta\mu d\lambda = - \sum_j \frac{R_j}{\partial_\mu R} \delta H_j = \text{holomorphic} \quad (2)$$

hence

$$R_j(\lambda, \mu) = N_j(\lambda, \mu)$$

where N_j are the numerators of the holomorphic differentials on Γ :

$$\omega_j = \frac{N_j(\lambda, \mu)}{\partial_\mu R(\lambda, \mu)} d\lambda = \sigma_j(\lambda, \mu) d\lambda$$

The great virtue of eq.(2) is that it implies that there are exactly g independent Hamiltonians because the space of holomorphic differentials is of dimension g . This is a most welcome fact

because the natural candidates for the angles variables are the g angle on the (complex) Jacobian torus, and so we need also g (complex) action variables. This counting argument still holds if we generalize eq.(2) as follows

$$\frac{\delta\mu d\lambda}{f(\lambda, \mu)} = \text{holomorphic}, \quad \text{then} \quad \frac{R_j(\lambda, \mu)}{\partial_\mu R(\lambda, \mu)} = f(\lambda, \mu)\sigma_j(\lambda, \mu) \quad (3)$$

Note that if we consider $\tilde{R}(\lambda, \mu) = h(\lambda, \mu)R(\lambda, \mu)$ where $h(\lambda, \mu)$ does not contain dynamical moduli, we have

$$\frac{\tilde{R}_j}{\partial_\mu \tilde{R}} = \frac{hR_j}{h\partial_\mu R + R\partial_\mu h}$$

so that on Γ the factor h disappears and the factor f above has an intrinsic meaning.

The g moduli H_i in eq.(1), are completely determined if we require that the curve passes through g points $\gamma_k = (\lambda_k, \mu_k)$. Indeed we just have to solve the linear system

$$\sum_{j=1}^g R_j(\lambda_k, \mu_k)H_j + R_0(\lambda_k, \mu_k) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, g \quad (4)$$

whose solution is

$$H = -B^{-1}V \quad (5)$$

where

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_i \\ \vdots \\ H_g \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} R_1(\lambda_1, \mu_1) & \cdots & R_g(\lambda_1, \mu_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ R_1(\lambda_i, \mu_i) & \cdots & R_g(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ R_1(\lambda_g, \mu_g) & \cdots & R_g(\lambda_g, \mu_g) \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} R_0(\lambda_1, \mu_1) \\ \vdots \\ R_0(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \\ \vdots \\ R_0(\lambda_g, \mu_g) \end{pmatrix}$$

On the $2g$ complex numbers (λ_k, μ_k) , we can introduce a non degenerate Poisson structure

$$\{\lambda_k, \lambda_{k'}\} = 0, \quad \{\lambda_k, \mu_{k'}\} = p(\lambda_k, \mu_k)\delta_{kk'}, \quad \{\mu_k, \mu_{k'}\} = 0 \quad (6)$$

We do not need to specify the function $p(\lambda, \mu)$ for the moment. By a simple calculation, we prove the [10, 12]

Proposition 1 *For any function $p(\lambda, \mu)$ in eq.(6), the Hamiltonians defined by eq.(5) are in involution*

$$\{H_i, H_j\} = 0$$

The H_i therefore define integrable flows on the $2g$ dimensional phase space eq.(6).

There is an interesting relation between the functions $p(\lambda, \mu)$ entering the Poisson bracket, eq.(6), and the function $f(\lambda, \mu)$ in eq.(3).

Proposition 2 *If $f(\lambda, \mu) = p(\lambda, \mu)$, the flows linearise on the Jacobian.*

Proof. Define the angles as the images of the divisor γ_i by the Abel map:

$$\theta_j = \sum_k \int^{\lambda_k} \sigma_j(\lambda, \mu) d\lambda$$

We will show that

$$\{H_i, \theta_j\} = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \sum_k \partial_{t_i} \lambda_k \sigma_j(\lambda_k, \mu_k) = \delta_{ij}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_i} \lambda_k = \{H_i, \lambda_k\} &= -\{B_{il}^{-1} V_l, \lambda_k\} \\ &= B_{ir}^{-1} \{B_{rs}, \lambda_k\} B_{sl}^{-1} V_l - B_{il}^{-1} \{V_l, \lambda_k\} \\ &= -B_{ik}^{-1} \left[\{B_{ks}, \lambda_k\} H_s + \{V_k, \lambda_k\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line, we used the separated structure of the matrix B and the vector V . Explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_i} \lambda_k B_{kj} &= B_{ik}^{-1} B_{kj} \left[\partial_\mu R_s(\lambda_k, \mu_k) H_s + \partial_\mu R_0(\lambda_k, \mu_k) \right] p(\lambda_k, \mu_k) \\ &= B_{ik}^{-1} B_{kj} \partial_\mu R(\lambda_k, \mu_k) p(\lambda_k, \mu_k) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\partial_{t_i} \lambda_k \frac{R_j(\lambda_k, \mu_k)}{p(\lambda_k, \mu_k) \partial_\mu R(\lambda_k, \mu_k)} = B_{ik}^{-1} B_{kj}$$

summing over k gives

$$\sum_k \partial_{t_i} \lambda_k \frac{f(\lambda_k, \mu_k)}{p(\lambda_k, \mu_k)} \sigma_j(\lambda_k, \mu_k) = \delta_{ij} \quad (7)$$

which reduces to what we had to prove when $f(\lambda, \mu) = p(\lambda, \mu)$. ■

Hence eqs.(1, 3) do provide us with integrable systems and their solution. The main question in this approach is to go back from the separated variables (λ_k, μ_k) to the "original" variables, i.e., the ones entering the Lax matrix elements. A Lax matrix is a matrix $L(\lambda)$ depending rationally on λ such that

$$R(\lambda, \mu) = \det(L(\lambda) - \mu)$$

A general strategy to construct it is as follows [3, 14]. First, we determine the size of the matrix $L(\lambda)$, by looking at the curve Γ as a covering of the λ -plane $(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow \lambda$. The dimension of the matrix is just the number of sheets of this covering. Call $Q_i(\lambda = \infty, a_i)$, $i = 1 \cdots N$ the point above $\lambda = \infty$. We can normalise $L(\lambda) = \text{Diag}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_N)$ at $\lambda = \infty$. To each point $P(\lambda, \mu)$ of the curve Γ , not a branch point of the covering $(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow \lambda$, one can attach the one dimensional eigenspace of $L(\lambda)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue μ . One can show that this extends to an analytic line bundle on Γ with Chern class g . The eigenvector $\Psi(P)$ at $P \in \Gamma$ can be presented as follows

$$\Psi(P) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \psi_2(P) \\ \vdots \\ \psi_N(P) \end{pmatrix}, \quad [\psi_i] = Q_1 - Q_i - \mathcal{D}$$

The function ψ_i has a zero at Q_1 , a pole at Q_i and g poles at a divisor $\mathcal{D}$ at finite distance. By the Riemann–Roch theorem, this function exists and is unique for $\mathcal{D}$ generic. We identify $\mathcal{D}$ to the divisor of the g points $\gamma_k = (\lambda_k, \mu_k)$ above and construct the corresponding vector $\Psi(P)$. Once this is done, we consider the N points P_i above λ , and build the matrices

$$\widehat{\Psi} = (\Psi(P_1), \dots, \Psi(P_N)), \quad \widehat{\mu} = \text{Diag}(\mu(P_1), \dots, \mu(P_N))$$

The matrix $L(\lambda)$ is given by

$$L(\lambda) = \widehat{\Psi} \widehat{\mu} \widehat{\Psi}^{-1}$$

This is independent of the order of the points P_i , and is a rational function of λ .

This method gives a way, in principle, to reconstruct the Lax matrix starting only from the spectral curve and the dynamical divisor on it, hence returning back to the original variables. Of course, in concrete examples, some of the genericity assumptions made here may have to be modified, or shortcuts may be available, but the general ideas remain the same.

2 The Classical Toda Chain.

The closed Toda chain is defined by the Hamiltonian [2]

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{2} p_i^2 + e^{q_{i+1} - q_i} \quad (8)$$

where we assume that $q_{n+2} \equiv q_1$, and Poisson bracket

$$\{q_i, q_j\} = 0, \quad \{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{p_i, p_j\} = 0$$

This is an integrable system. We associate to it a Lax matrix as follows. Consider the 2×2 matrices

$$T_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + p_j & -e^{q_j} \\ e^{-q_j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and construct

$$T(\lambda) = T_1(\lambda) \cdots T_2(\lambda) T_{n+1}(\lambda) \quad (9)$$

We can write

$$T(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & D(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}; \quad A(\lambda)D(\lambda) - B(\lambda)C(\lambda) = 1 \quad (10)$$

$A(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $n+1$, $D(\lambda)$ is of degree $n-1$, and $B(\lambda)$, $C(\lambda)$ are of degree n . The spectral curve is defined as usual

$$\det(T(\lambda) - \mu) = 0 \quad \equiv \quad \mu + \mu^{-1} - t(\lambda) = 0 \quad (11)$$

where

$$t(\lambda) = A(\lambda) + D(\lambda) = \lambda^{n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda^j H_j, \quad H_n = P, \quad H_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2} P^2 - H$$

where $P = \sum_i p_i$, and H is given by eq.(8). The $n + 1$ quantities H_j are conserved. The curve eq.(11) is hyperelliptic. It can be written as

$$s^2 = t^2(\lambda) - 4, \quad \text{with} \quad s = 2\mu - t(\lambda) = \mu - \mu^{-1} \quad (12)$$

The polynomial $t^2(\lambda)$ being of degree $2(n + 1)$, the genus of the curve is $g = n$. The number of dynamical moduli is $g = n$ in the center of mass frame $P = 0$. In the following we therefore always consider the system reduced by the translational symmetry. We have

$$\frac{\delta\mu}{\mu} d\lambda = \frac{\delta t(\lambda)}{\mu - \mu^{-1}} d\lambda = \frac{\delta t(\lambda)}{s} d\lambda = \text{holomorphic} \quad (13)$$

Asking that the curve eq.(11) passes through the n points (λ_i, μ_i) , we get n equations

$$t(\lambda_i) = \mu_i + \mu_i^{-1}$$

Their solution for the n Hamiltonians H_i may be cast conveniently in the form of Lagrange interpolation formula:

$$t(\lambda) = t^{(0)}(\lambda) + t^{(1)}(\lambda) \quad (14)$$

where

$$t^{(0)}(\lambda) = (\lambda + \sum_i \lambda_i) \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i), \quad t^{(1)}(\lambda) = \sum_i \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda - \lambda_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} (\mu_i + \mu_i^{-1}) \quad (15)$$

The polynomial $t^{(0)}(\lambda)$ is of degree $n + 1$, vanishes for $\lambda = \lambda_i$ and has no λ^n term.

We define the Poisson bracket of the separated variables as (in agreement with eqs.(6,13)):

$$\{\lambda_k, \lambda_{k'}\} = 0, \quad \{\mu_k, \lambda_{k'}\} = \mu_k \delta_{kk'}, \quad \{\mu_k, \mu_{k'}\} = 0$$

By the general result of [10, 12] the Hamiltonians H_i obtained as coefficients of the polynomial $t(\lambda)$ in eq.(15) are in involution. Notice that the above Poisson bracket is the one matching the condition (13) and leads to flows linearizing on the Jacobian of the spectral curve eq.(11).

To proceed, we reconstruct the Lax matrix. The curve eq.(11) is a two sheeted cover of the λ -plane. For a 2×2 matrix of the form eq.(10) the eigenvector is simple

$$(T(\lambda) - \mu)\Psi = 0, \quad \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_2 = -\frac{A(\lambda) - \mu}{B(\lambda)}$$

The poles of ψ_2 at finite distance are above the zeroes λ_i of $B(\lambda) = 0$ which is a polynomial of degree n . The two points above λ_i are $\mu_i^+ = A(\lambda_i)$, $\mu_i^- = D(\lambda_i)$ so that ψ_2 has a pole only on the second point. The points of the dynamical divisor are therefore

$$(\lambda_i, D(\lambda_i)), \quad B(\lambda_i) = 0$$

Given the points of the dynamical divisor, we reconstruct $A(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$:

$$\begin{aligned} B(\lambda) &= b_0 \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i) \\ A(\lambda) &= (\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i) \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i) + \sum_i \mu_i \frac{\prod_{j \neq i}^n (\lambda - \lambda_j)}{\prod_{j \neq i}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \end{aligned}$$

Knowing $A(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ we reconstruct $C(\lambda)$ and $D(\lambda)$ by the trace and determinant conditions. These formulae were the basis of Sklyanin's work [1], and of Smirnov's work [6] and [9] (with a different Poisson structure).

To reconstruct the original degrees of freedom of the Toda chain, however, is equivalent to reconstructing the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ Lax matrix:

$$L(\mu) = \sum_i p_i E_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\frac{1}{2}(q_{i+1}-q_i)} (E_{i,i+1} + E_{i+1,i}) + e^{\frac{1}{2}(q_1-q_{n+1})} (\mu E_{n+1,1} + \mu^{-1} E_{1,n+1})$$

where $(E_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$. This matrix is such that

$$\det(L(\mu) - \lambda) = \mu + \mu^{-1} - A(\lambda) - D(\lambda)$$

Since $L(\mu)$ is of size $(n+1) \times (n+1)$, we look at the spectral curve eq.(11) as a $n+1$ sheeted cover of the μ -plane. When $\lambda = \infty$, we have two points P^+ and P^- corresponding to $\mu = \infty$ and $\mu = 0$ respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} P^+ &: \mu = \lambda^{n+1}(1 + O(\lambda^{-2})) \\ P^- &: \mu = \lambda^{-n-1}(1 + O(\lambda^{-2})) \end{aligned}$$

According to e.g. [3, 14], the eigenvectors of $L(\mu)$ are easy to construct. Set

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

where we have normalised the last component to be μ . The meromorphic functions ψ_i have poles at the dynamical divisor, moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i &= e^{\frac{q_i - q_{n+1}}{2}} \lambda^i (1 + O(\lambda^{-1})), & \text{near } P^+ \\ \psi_i &= e^{-\frac{q_i - q_{n+1}}{2}} \lambda^{-i} (1 + O(\lambda^{-1})), & \text{near } P^- \end{aligned}$$

These properties determine the functions ψ_i uniquely. Being meromorphic functions on a hyperelliptic curve, we can write

$$\psi_i = \frac{Q^{(i)}(\lambda) + \mu R^{(i)}(\lambda)}{\prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_j)}$$

where $Q^{(i)}$ and $R^{(i)}$ are polynomials. We want the poles to be at (λ_j, μ_j) only so that the numerator should vanish at the points (λ_j, μ_j^{-1}) . This gives n conditions

$$Q^{(i)}(\lambda_j) + \mu_j^{-1} R^{(i)}(\lambda_j) = 0, \quad j = 1 \cdots n \quad (16)$$

To have a pole of order i at P^+ and a zero of order i at P^- we choose

$$\text{degree } Q^{(i)} = n - i, \quad \text{degree } R^{(i)} = i - 1$$

These two polynomials depend altogether on $n + 1$ coefficients. They are determined by imposing the n conditions eq.(16) and requiring that the normalizations coefficients are inverse to each other at $P^\pm$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{(i)}(\lambda) &= Q_0^{(i)} + Q_1^{(i)}\lambda + \dots + Q_{n-i}^{(i)}\lambda^{n-i} \\ R^{(i)}(\lambda) &= R_0^{(i)} + R_1^{(i)}\lambda + \dots + R_{i-1}^{(i)}\lambda^{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $\psi_{n+1} = \mu$ we have to define

$$Q^{(n+1)}(\lambda) = 0, \quad R^{(n+1)}(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_j)$$

then eqs.(16) become

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_1^{i-1} & \mu_1 & \mu_1\lambda_1 & \dots & \mu_1\lambda_1^{n-i-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_j & \dots & \lambda_j^{i-1} & \mu_j & \mu_j\lambda_j & \dots & \mu_j\lambda_j^{n-i-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_n & \dots & \lambda_n^{i-1} & \mu_n & \mu_n\lambda_n & \dots & \mu_n\lambda_n^{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_0^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{i-1}^{(i)} \\ Q_0^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ Q_{n-i-1}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} = -Q_{n-i}^{(i)} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1\lambda_1^{n-i} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_j\lambda_j^{n-i} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n\lambda_n^{n-i} \end{pmatrix}$$

or, with obvious notations $M^{(i)}X^{(i)} = -Q_{n-i}^{(i)}V^{(i)}$ and therefore $X^{(i)} = -Q_{n-i}^{(i)}M^{(i)-1}V^{(i)}$. In particular

$$R_{k-1}^{(i)} = -Q_{n-i}^{(i)} \frac{\Delta_k^{(i)}}{\Delta^{(i)}}$$

where $\Delta^{(i)} = \det M^{(i)}$ and $\Delta_k^{(i)}$ is the determinant of the matrix obtained from $M^{(i)}$ by replacing column k by $V^{(i)}$. Finally, one has to impose that the leading coefficients at $P_\pm$ are inverse to each other: $R_{i-1}^{(i)} = (Q_{n-i}^{(i)})^{-1}$. This gives

$$(Q_{n-i}^{(i)})^{-2} = e^{q_i - q_{n+1}} = -\frac{\Delta_i^{(i)}}{\Delta^{(i)}}$$

To reconstruct the momenta, we follow [14] again. Expand

$$\psi_i = e^{\frac{q_i - q_{n+1}}{2}} \lambda^i (1 - \xi_i \lambda^{-1} + \dots), \quad \text{near } P^+$$

then $p_i = \xi_{i+1} - \xi_i$. We find at once

$$\xi_i = -\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j - \frac{R_{i-2}^{(i)}}{R_{i-1}^{(i)}}$$

hence

$$p_i = \frac{\Delta_{i-1}^{(i)}}{\Delta_i^{(i)}} - \frac{\Delta_i^{(i+1)}}{\Delta_{i+1}^{(i+1)}}$$

which we complement with the boundary terms

$$p_1 = -\frac{\Delta_1^{(2)}}{\Delta_2^{(2)}}, \quad p_n = \frac{\Delta_{n-1}^{(n)}}{\Delta_n^{(n)}} + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j, \quad p_{n+1} = -\sum_{j=1}^n p_j$$

We now give more explicit formulae for the determinants entering the above expressions. We call $[k]$ a subset of cardinality k of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$:

$$[k] = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$$

We write $\sum_{[k]}$ for the sum over all such sets. Define

$$S_{[k]} = \prod_{i \in [k]} \prod_{j \notin [k]} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\mu_{[k]} = \mu_{i_1} \mu_{i_2} \cdots \mu_{i_k}$$

then, we have

$$X^{(k)} \equiv \frac{\Delta^{(n-k)}}{\Delta^{(n)}} = \sum_{[k]} S_{[k]} \mu_{[k]} \quad (18)$$

$$Y^{(k)} \equiv \frac{\Delta_{n-k-1}^{(n-k)}}{\Delta^{(n)}} = \sum_{[k]} S_{[k]} \left(\sum_{i \notin [k]} \lambda_i \right) \mu_{[k]} \quad (19)$$

We have (note that $\Delta_i^{(i)} = (-1)^{n-i} \Delta^{(i-1)}$)

$$e^{q_i - q_{n+1}} = \frac{X^{(n-i+1)}}{X^{(n-i)}}, \quad p_i = \frac{Y^{(n-i+1)}}{X^{(n-i+1)}} - \frac{Y^{(n-i)}}{X^{(n-i)}} \quad (20)$$

It remains to check that the Poisson bracket between p_i, q_i is canonical. This easily follows from

Proposition 3

$$\begin{aligned} \{X^{(k)}, X^{(l)}\} &= 0 \\ \{X^{(k)}, Y^{(l)}\} &= (k-l)\theta(k-l)X^{(k)}X^{(l)} \\ \{Y^{(k)}, Y^{(l)}\} &= (k-l)\left(\theta(k-l)Y^{(k)}X^{(l)} + \theta(l-k)X^{(k)}Y^{(l)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta(k-l) = 1$ if $k > l, 0$ otherwise.

Instead of proving these relations directly, it is more convenient to use the quantity $Z^{(k)}$ defined by

$$Y^{(k)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right) X^{(k)} - Z^{(k)}, \quad Z^{(k)} = \sum_{[k]} S_{[k]} \left(\sum_{i \in [k]} \lambda_i \right) \mu_{[k]} \quad (21)$$

Since $\{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i, X^{(k)}\} = -kX^{(k)}$, $\{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i, Z^{(k)}\} = -kZ^{(k)}$ we have to show that

Proposition 4

$$\begin{aligned}\{X^{(k)}, X^{(l)}\} &= 0 \\ \{X^{(k)}, Z^{(l)}\} &= \left(l\theta(k-l) + k\theta(l-k)\right) X^{(k)} X^{(l)} \\ \{Z^{(k)}, Z^{(l)}\} &= \left(l\theta(k-l) + k\theta(l-k)\right) \left(Z^{(k)} X^{(l)} - X^{(k)} Z^{(l)}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take the semiclassical limit of the quantum formulae below. ■

Eqs.(20) and the above proposition provide a complete solution to the problem of expressing the original Toda variables p_i, q_i in terms of the separated variables in the classical case. We now turn to the quantum theory.

3 The Quantum Toda chain.

In the quantum case, analysis on Riemann surfaces is not available. So, we try to quantize directly the relevant classical formulae.

Quantum commutation relations are defined directly on the separated variables.

$$[\lambda_k, \lambda_{k'}] = 0, \quad \mu_k \lambda_{k'} = (\lambda_{k'} + i\hbar \delta_{kk'}) \mu_k \equiv (t_k \lambda_{k'}) \mu_k, \quad [\mu_k, \mu_{k'}] = 0$$

As shown in [12], the formulae (15) for the quantum Hamiltonians remain valid at the quantum level (with the μ_i 's written on the right) and they are all commuting.

The new result of this paper concerns the variables q_i, p_i of the Toda chain. We show that the classical formulae eqs.(20) can also be straightforwardly quantized.

As a first step, we quantize the operators $X^{(k)}$ and $Z^{(k)}$. We define them by the same formulae as in the classical case eqs.(18, 21), but now it is important to write the μ_i 's to the right. We have

Proposition 5

$$\begin{aligned}[X^{(k)}, X^{(l)}] &= 0 \\ [X^{(k)}, Z^{(l)}] &= i\hbar(k\theta(l-k) + l\theta(k-l)) X^{(k)} X^{(l)} \\ [Z^{(k)}, Z^{(l)}] &= i\hbar(k\theta(l-k) + l\theta(k-l)) (Z^{(k)} X^{(l)} - Z^{(l)} X^{(k)})\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$[X^{(k)}, X^{(l)}] = \sum_{[k], [l]} \left(S_{[k]} t_{[k]} S_{[l]} - S_{[l]} t_{[l]} S_{[k]} \right) \mu_{[k]} \mu_{[l]}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[X^{(k)}, Z^{(l)}] &= \sum_{[k], [l]} (S_{[k]} t_{[k]} (\lambda_{[l]} S_{[l]}) - \lambda_{[l]} S_{[l]} (t_{[l]} S_{[k]})) \mu_{[k]} \mu_{[l]} \\
[Z^{(k)}, Z^{(l)}] &= \sum_{[k], [l]} (\lambda_{[k]} S_{[k]} (t_{[k]} \lambda_{[l]} S_{[l]}) - \lambda_{[l]} S_{[l]} (t_{[l]} \lambda_{[k]} S_{[k]})) \mu_{[k]} \mu_{[l]}
\end{aligned}$$

where we denoted

$$\lambda_{[k]} = \sum_{i \in [k]} \lambda_i$$

We set

$$[k] = [k'] + [m'], \quad [l] = [l'] + [m'], \quad [k'] \cap [l'] = \emptyset \quad (22)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{[k], [l]} ((\lambda_{[k]})^a S_{[k]} (t_{[k]} (\lambda_{[l]})^b S_{[l]}) - (\lambda_{[l]})^b S_{[l]} (t_{[l]} (\lambda_{[k]})^a S_{[k]})) &= \sum_{[k], [l]} (-1)^{\#k' \#l'} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{i \in [k' + l' + m'] \\ j \notin [k' + l' + m']}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \prod_{\substack{i \in [m'] \\ j \in [k' + l']}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \prod_{\substack{i \in [m'] \\ j \notin [k' + l' + m']}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + i\hbar} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{i \in [k'] \\ j \in [l']}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \left((\lambda_{[k]})^a (\lambda_{[l]} + i\hbar m')^b \prod_{\substack{i \in [k'] \\ j \in [l']}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + i\hbar} - (\lambda_{[l]})^b (\lambda_{[k]} + i\hbar m')^a \prod_{\substack{i \in [k'] \\ j \in [l']}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i\hbar} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient on the second line only depends on $[k' + l']$. Hence we can spilt the sum

$$\sum_{[k], [l]} = \sum_{[m'], [k' + l']} \sum_{[k'], [l']}$$

and the last sum goes straight to the last line.

If $a = 0, b = 0$, that is in the calculation of $[X^{(k)}, X^{(l)}]$, the last sum vanishes by Lemma 2.

If $a = 0, b = 1$, that is in the calculation of $[X^{(k)}, Z^{(l)}]$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{[l]} + i\hbar m' &= \lambda_{[k' + l' + m']} - \lambda_{[k']} + i\hbar k - i\hbar k' \\
\lambda_{[l]} &= \lambda_{[k' + l' + m']} - (\lambda_{[k']} - i\hbar k') - i\hbar k'
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 applied with $a = 0, 1$, only the $i\hbar k$ term contributes in the last sum. This term is exactly equal to

$$[X^{(k)}, Z^{(l)}] = i\hbar k X^{(k)} X^{(l)}, \quad k < l$$

If $k > l$, we write this time

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{[l]} + i\hbar m' &= (\lambda_{[l']} - i\hbar l') + \lambda_{[m']} + i\hbar l \\
\lambda_{[l]} &= \lambda_{[l']} + \lambda_{[m']}
\end{aligned}$$

and this time only the $i\hbar l$ term contributes. Hence

$$[X^{(k)}, Z^{(l)}] = i\hbar l X^{(k)} X^{(l)}$$

If $a = 1, b = 1$, that is in the calculation of $[Z^{(k)}, Z^{(l)}]$, we set (assuming $k < l$):

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{[k]}(\lambda_{[l]} + m' i\hbar) &= i\hbar k \lambda_{[k]} - (\lambda_{[k']})^2 + (\lambda_{[k'+l']} - i\hbar k') \lambda_{[k']} \\ &\quad + \lambda_{[m']} (\lambda_{[k'+l'+m']} - i\hbar k') \\ \lambda_{[l]}(\lambda_{[k]} + m' i\hbar) &= i\hbar k \lambda_{[l]} - (\lambda_{[k']} - i\hbar k')^2 + (\lambda_{[k'+l']} - i\hbar k') (\lambda_{[k']} - i\hbar k') \\ &\quad + \lambda_{[m']} (\lambda_{[k'+l'+m']} - i\hbar k')\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 only the terms $i\hbar k \lambda_{[k]}$ and $i\hbar k \lambda_{[l]}$ contribute. Hence

$$[Z^{(k)}, Z^{(l)}] = i\hbar k (Z^{(k)} X^{(l)} - Z^{(l)} X^{(k)}), \quad k < l$$

■

It is now simple to write the commutation relations with $Y^{(k)}$ defined in eq.(19).

Proposition 6

$$\begin{aligned}[X^{(k)}, X^{(l)}] &= 0 \\ [X^{(k)}, Y^{(l)}] &= i\hbar(k-l)\theta(k-l)X^{(k)}X^{(l)} \\ [Y^{(k)}, Y^{(l)}] &= i\hbar(k-l)\left[\theta(k-l)Y^{(k)}X^{(l)} + \theta(l-k)Y^{(l)}X^{(k)}\right]\end{aligned}$$

We define the quantum Toda variables as in the classical case:

Proposition 7 *Let us define the quantum Toda operators as*

$$e^{q_i - q_{n+1}} = \frac{X^{(n-i+1)}}{X^{(n-i)}}, \quad p_i = \frac{Y^{(n-i+1)}}{X^{(n-i+1)}} - \frac{Y^{(n-i)}}{X^{(n-i)}} \quad (23)$$

Then, we have

$$[e^{q_i}, e^{q_j}] = 0, \quad [e^{q_i}, p_j] = -i\hbar \delta_{ij} e^{q_i}, \quad [p_i, p_j] = 0 \quad (24)$$

Proof. Note that there is no ordering ambiguity in the expressions eq.(23). The proof of the canonical commutation relations relies on

$$\left[\frac{1}{X^{(k)}}, Y^{(l)} \right] = -i\hbar(k-l)\theta(k-l)\frac{X^{(l)}}{X^{(k)}}$$

which implies in turn

$$\left[\frac{Y^{(k)}}{X^{(k)}}, \frac{Y^{(l)}}{X^{(l)}} \right] = 0$$

■

Eqs.(23, 24) constitute the main result of this paper. It is important to check the reality of our operators. The conjugation operation on the variables λ_k, μ_k was given to us by Sklyanin:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_k^* &= \lambda_k \\ \mu_k^* &= \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_j + i\hbar}{\lambda_k - \lambda_j} \mu_k\end{aligned}$$

This conjugation rule is found by requiring that the Hamiltonians H_j be self conjugate. It is a simple exercise to check that the operators $X^{(k)}, Y^{(k)}$ are self conjugate, and therefore so are p_i, q_i .

4 Conclusion.

Eqs.(23) opens up the possibility to compute the matrix elements of these operators between the eigenstates of the Hamiltonians H_i , see [6, 8]. The existing techniques should be sufficient to handle operators polynomials in μ_i , such as

$$e^{q_n - q_{n+1}} e^{q_{n-1} - q_{n+1}} \dots e^{q_{n-k+1} - q_{n+1}} = X^{(k)}$$

For the operators p_i, e^{q_i} themselves however, we will have to learn how to treat ratios of such polynomial operators. This is an important issue since for non hyperelliptic curves this situation seems unavoidable [11].

5 Appendix.

We prove some combinatorial identities which are used in the computation of the commutators of the operators $X^{(k)}, Z^{(k)}$.

Lemma 1

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \left(\lambda_i^a \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j + i\hbar)} - (\lambda_i - i\hbar)^a \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i\hbar)} \right) = 0$$

for $a \leq 2n$.

Proof. Consider

$$Q(z) = z^a \prod_i \frac{1}{z - \lambda_i} \prod_i \frac{1}{z - \lambda_i + i\hbar}$$

The residue at the pole $z = \lambda_i$ reads

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} \lambda_i^a \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + i\hbar}$$

while the residue at $z = \lambda_i - i\hbar$ reads

$$-\frac{1}{i\hbar}(\lambda_i - i\hbar)^a \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i\hbar} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}$$

Hence our expression is the sum of the residues of $Q(z)$ at finite distance, which vanishes if $a \leq 2n$. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 2 Suppose $k < n/2$. Then

$$\sum_{[k]} \prod_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ j \notin [k]}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \left((\lambda_{[k]})^a \prod_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ j \notin [k]}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j + i\hbar)} - (\lambda_{[k]} - i\hbar k)^a \prod_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ j \notin [k]}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i\hbar)} \right) = 0$$

for $0 \leq a \leq 2(n - 2k + 1)$. By symmetry, if $k > n/2$, we have

$$\sum_{[k]} \prod_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ j \notin [k]}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \left((\lambda_{[n-k]} - i\hbar(n - k))^a \prod_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ j \notin [k]}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j + i\hbar)} - (\lambda_{[n-k]})^a \prod_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ j \notin [k]}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i\hbar)} \right) = 0$$

for $0 \leq a \leq 2(2k - n + 1)$

Proof. Consider this expression as a function of λ_1 . It tends to zero at ∞ , and it has poles at the other $\lambda_j, \lambda_j \pm i\hbar$. Consider λ_2 . We have two contributions corresponding to $\lambda_1 \in [k], \lambda_2 \notin [k]$ and $\lambda_1 \notin [k], \lambda_2 \in [k]$. We denote by $[n']$ the subset of $[n]$ where λ_1 and λ_2 have been removed, by $[k']$ a subset of $[n']$ of cardinality $k - 1$, and by $[l']$ the complementary subset in $[n']$. The two contributions can be written respectively:

$$A = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} P_{[l']}(\lambda_1) P_{[k']}(\lambda_2) \prod_0' \left(\frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{[k']})^a}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + i\hbar} P_{[l']}(\lambda_1 + i\hbar) P_{[k']}(\lambda_2 - i\hbar) \prod_+' \right. \\ \left. - \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{[k']} - ki\hbar)^a}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - i\hbar} P_{[l']}(\lambda_1 - i\hbar) P_{[k']}(\lambda_2 + i\hbar) \prod_-' \right)$$

$$B = \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} P_{[l']}(\lambda_2) P_{[k']}(\lambda_1) \prod_0' \left(\frac{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{[k']})^a}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 + i\hbar} P_{[l']}(\lambda_2 + i\hbar) P_{[k']}(\lambda_1 - i\hbar) \prod_+' \right. \\ \left. - \frac{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_{[k']} - ki\hbar)^a}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 - i\hbar} P_{[l']}(\lambda_2 - i\hbar) P_{[k']}(\lambda_1 + i\hbar) \prod_-' \right)$$

where

$$P_{[l']}(\lambda) = \prod_{j \in [l']} \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_j}$$

and

$$\prod_\sigma' = \prod_{\substack{i \in [k'] \\ j \in [l']}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j + \sigma i\hbar)}, \quad \sigma = 0, \pm$$

Consider the pole at $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. Set $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 + \epsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} P_{[l']}(\lambda_2) P_{[k']}(\lambda_2) \prod_0' \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar} (\lambda_2 + \lambda_{[k']})^a P_{[l']}(\lambda_2 + i\hbar) P_{[k']}(\lambda_2 - i\hbar) \prod_+' \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{i\hbar} (\lambda_2 + \lambda_{[k']} - ki\hbar)^a P_{[l']}(\lambda_2 - i\hbar) P_{[k']}(\lambda_2 + i\hbar) \prod_-' \right) \\
B &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon} P_{[l']}(\lambda_2) P_{[k']}(\lambda_2) \prod_0' \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar} (\lambda_2 + \lambda_{[k']})^a P_{[l']}(\lambda_2 + i\hbar) P_{[k']}(\lambda_2 - i\hbar) \prod_+' \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{i\hbar} (\lambda_2 + \lambda_{[k']} - ki\hbar)^a P_{[l']}(\lambda_2 - i\hbar) P_{[k']}(\lambda_2 + i\hbar) \prod_-' \right)
\end{aligned}$$

so that $A + B$ is regular.

Consider the pole at $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 - i\hbar$. Set $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 - i\hbar + \epsilon$

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= -\frac{1}{i\hbar\epsilon} P_{[n']}(\lambda_2 - i\hbar) P_{[n']}(\lambda_2) (\lambda_2 - i\hbar + \lambda_{[k']})^a \prod_0' \prod_+' \\
B &= \frac{1}{i\hbar\epsilon} P_{[n']}(\lambda_2) P_{[n']}(\lambda_2 - i\hbar) (\lambda_2 - i\hbar + \lambda_{[k']} - (k-1)i\hbar)^a \prod_0' \prod_-'
\end{aligned}$$

so that $A + B$ is proportional to

$$\sum_{[k']} \prod_0' \left((\lambda_{[k']})^{a'} \prod_+' - (\lambda_{[k']} - (k-1)i\hbar)^{a'} \prod_-' \right)$$

which is our identity at a lower level.

Consider the pole at $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 + i\hbar$. Set $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 + i\hbar + \epsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= -\frac{1}{i\hbar\epsilon} P_{[n']}(\lambda_2 + i\hbar) P_{[n']}(\lambda_2) (\lambda_2 + \lambda_{[k']} - (k-1)i\hbar)^a \prod_0' \prod_-' \\
B &= \frac{1}{i\hbar\epsilon} P_{[n']}(\lambda_2) P_{[n']}(\lambda_2 + i\hbar) (\lambda_2 + \lambda_{[k']})^a \prod_0' \prod_+'
\end{aligned}$$

so that $A + B$ is proportional to

$$\sum_{[k']} \prod_0' \left((\lambda_{[k']})^{a'} \prod_+' - (\lambda_{[k']} - (k-1)i\hbar)^{a'} \prod_-' \right)$$

which is our identity at a lower level. So, if the identity holds at lower levels, our expression, as a rational function of λ_1 , is regular everywhere and tends to zero at ∞ , hence identically vanishes. Since the identity is true for $k = 1$ by Lemma 1, it is true as stated. $\blacksquare$

Acknowledgements. I thank F. Smirnov and D. Talalaev for discussions.

References

- [1] E.K. Sklyanin, *The quantum Toda chain*. Lec. Notes in Phys., (1985) 226, 196-233.
- [2] L. Faddeev, L. Takhtajan, **Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons**. Springer 1986.
- [3] B.A. Dubrovin, I.M. Krichever, S.P. Novikov, *Integrable Systems I*. Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, Dynamical systems IV. Springer (1990) p.173–281.
- [4] E.K. Sklyanin, *Separation of variables*. Prog. Theor. Phys. (suppl), 185 (1995) p.35.
- [5] I.M. Krichever, D.H. Phong, *On the integrable geometry of soliton equations and $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories*. J. Diff. Geom. 45 (1997) p.349–389.
- [6] F. Smirnov, *Structure of matrix elements in quantum Toda chain*. math-ph/9805011, J.Phys. A Math.Gen. 31, (1998), pp.8953-8971
- [7] O.Babelon, M. Talon, *The symplectic structure of rational Lax pair systems*. solv-int/9812009 Physics Letters A 257 (1999) p.139-144.
- [8] S. Kharchev, D. Lebedev, *Integral representations for the eigenfunctions of quantum periodic Toda chain*. hep-th/9910265
- [9] F.A. Smirnov, *Dual Baxter equations and quantization of Affine Jacobian*. math-ph/0001032
- [10] B. Enriquez, V. Rubtsov, *Commuting families in skew fields and quantization of Beauville’s fibration*. math.AG/0112276.
- [11] F.A. Smirnov, V. Zeitlin, *Affine Jacobians of spectral Curves and Integrable Models*. math-ph/0203037
- [12] O. Babelon, M. Talon, *Riemann surfaces, separation of variables and classical and quantum integrability*. hep-th/0209071
- [13] E. D’Hoker, I.M. Krichever, D.H. Phong, *Seiberg-Witten Theory, Symplectic Forms, and Hamiltonian Theory of Solitons*. hep-th/0212313
- [14] O.Babelon, D. Bernard, M. Talon, **Introduction to Classical Integrable Systems**. Cambridge University Press 2003 (to appear).